

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the careful examination of the present application.

In the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner has objected to the Abstract, and in response, Applicant has amended the Abstract so it conforms to U.S. practice.

Applicant has also amended several other paragraphs in the specification to correct typographical errors.

The objection to claims 1-2 and 22 is now moot in view of the present amendments to these claims.

Claim 25 has been amended to recite steps as suggested by the Examiner. Applicant believes that the current amendment overcomes the rejection of claim 25.

Claims 1-25 stand rejected based on 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Applicant has amended all of the claims and respectfully submits that the claims, as amended, overcome the present rejection.

Claim 26 has been added and no new matter has been introduced since support for this amendment is found in the present specification.

Since the claims were only rejected on formal grounds and not prior art grounds, Applicant respectfully submits that the present claims should be passed to issue.

Dated: November 15, 2007

By ✓

Edward J. Ellis
Registration No.: 40,389
DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. Box 770
Church Street Station
New York, New York 10008-0770
(212) 527-7700
(212) 527-7701 (Fax)
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant